



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/543,767	04/05/2000	Yasuhiro Sato	00FN006US	6702
7	7590 07/18/2003			
MCGINN & GIBB 8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD SUITE 200		EXAMINER		
			CHOWDHURY, TA	CHOWDHURY, TARIFUR RASHID
VIENNA, VA	22182-3817		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2871	

DATE MAILED: 07/18/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			KU
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/543,767	SATO, YASUHIRO	
*	Examiner	Art Unit	-
A Comment of the Comm	Tarifur R Chowdhury	2871	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addre	ess
THE REPLY FILED 09 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application to the control of the control	cation. A proper reply ch places the applicati	to a ion in
PERIOD FOR RI	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The see have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period see under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offi simely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 0	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set fort later than SIX MONTHS from the mails S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF a date on which the petition under 37 C of extension and the corresponding amonth that is shortened statutory period for replace later than three months after the maintain set.	ing date of the final rejection IHE FINAL REJECTION. S FR 1.136(a) and the approperation of the fee. The appropersion of the fee. The final C	n. See MPEP priate extension priate extension Office action; or
A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF).			
The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or sim	plifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	etion(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed a	mendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,5-18</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Examin	er.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	11.	
10. ☐ Other:		thouse hory T. Chowdhury Primory Exc	miner

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the proposed amendment such as the upper frame and the lower frame having "stepped" protrusion was not considered by the examiner before and thus raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search ro define patentibility. ...

•-3